

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/777,560	02/11/2004	Harry S. Luan	108-18.1	5757	
7:	590 01/03/2006		EXAMINER		
Truong Dinh			NGUYEN, THINH T		
Dinh & Associa 2506 Ash Stree			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Palo Alto, CA	94306		2818		
	•		DATE MAILED: 01/03/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H'F
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/777,560	LUAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thinh T. Nguyen	2818	- <u></u> -
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communications ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	2 November 2005.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo			is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 22-24</u> is/are pending in t	he application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Marakian manianan	-1	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-14,22-24</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election requiremen	ιτ.	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority docum			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur	·		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Claims 1-14, 22-24 are pending in this application including new claims 22-24.

Claims 1-14,22-24 directed to semiconductor device assembly are restricted as follows:

1. The claims are directed to the following patently distinct species of the claimed invention:

I/ Species I. Claims 1-14,22. as best as can be understood are described in claim 1 and 12 are directed to a integrated circuit with all the technical features as recited in claim 1 and claim 12.

II/ **Species II. Claims 23-24.** as best as can be understood is described in claim 23 with all the technical features as recited in claim 23.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/777,560 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Application/Control Number: 10/777,560 Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

CONCLUSION

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thinh T Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1790. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.30 AM 6.30 PM Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DAVID NELMS can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval [PAIR] system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thelogue

Thinh T Nguyen

Art Unit 2818